

Problem Set 3 – Relativity (G0I36A)

06/10/2020

1 Actions, Part 2: Electric Boogaloo

Remember from last week that, given a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ for a scalar field Φ , the equation of motion governing this field (more formally known as the Euler-Lagrange equation) is given by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \Phi)} \right) = 0 . \quad (1.1)$$

This formula is very general, and it applies to any system where we can write down a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\Phi, \partial_\mu \Phi, x^\mu)$ that is a function of the field Φ as well as its derivatives $\partial_\mu \Phi$ at any given point x^μ on the manifold of consideration.

This week, we will look at an action that describes a photon in a four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime. The dynamics of this photon are encoded into a vector field A_μ that lives on the Minkowski spacetime. The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 4\pi A_\mu J^\mu , \quad (1.2)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is commonly referred to as the *field strength tensor*, and J^μ is a background field that interacts with A_μ . (For example, if we wanted to ask what happens to a photon when we apply a constant background magnetic field, we would use J^μ to specify this background magnetic field.) In this problem, we will explore the dynamics of the photon field A_μ using the Lagrangian formulation.

- 1.) Using the definition of the field strength, show that the field strength tensor satisfies

$$\partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu F_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} = 0 . \quad (1.3)$$

This is called the *Bianchi identity*.

- 2.) Show that the Bianchi identity is trivial unless the indices μ , ν , and ρ all take different values. Based on this, how many non-trivial equations does the Bianchi identity represent?
- 3.) Since we are looking at a vector field and not a scalar field, we cannot just use the scalar field Euler-Lagrange equation (1.1). Instead, we need a generalization that will work for a vector field. Argue that the most natural generalization is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\nu)} \right) = 0 . \quad (1.4)$$

Is it important how we have chosen the indices in this expression? Why or why not?

- 4.) When we say that the field J^μ is a background field, this means that we have in mind that we are fixing what values J^μ takes at every point in our spacetime. Since we have fixed it, we do not vary our Lagrangian with respect to J^μ ; A_μ is the only field that we want to vary our Lagrangian with respect to the Euler-Lagrange equations (1.4) for a vector field.

With this in mind, show that the Euler-Lagrange equations for the field A_μ are given by

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = -4\pi J^\nu . \quad (1.5)$$

- 5.) Even if you don't know it yet, what you've actually just done is derive Maxwell's equations! In units where $\mu_0 = \varepsilon_0 = c = 1$, Maxwell's equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} &= 4\pi\rho , \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} - \partial_t \vec{E} &= 4\pi\vec{J} , \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} &= 0 , \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} &= 0 .\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

where $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields (respectively), ρ is the electric charge density, and $\vec{J}$ is the electric current density. Show that, if the field strength $F^{\mu\nu}$ and background source J^μ are given in component form by

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ -E_1 & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ -E_2 & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ -E_3 & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad J^\mu = (\rho, J_1, J_2, J_3) ,\tag{1.7}$$

then the equations you derived in problems 1 and 3 are exactly equivalent to Maxwell's equations. Specifically, you should find that the equations of motion from problem 4 are equivalent to the first two lines in (1.6), and the Bianchi identity from problem 1 is equivalent to the last two lines of (1.6). Then, argue that (1.7) is the most general form possible for the tensors $F^{\mu\nu}$ and J^μ . (For this last part, you'll need to think about symmetries and how many independent components these tensors have.)

- 6.) We can define the *electromagnetic stress tensor* $T^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the field strength as follows:

$$T^{\mu\nu} \equiv F^\mu{}_\rho F^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} .\tag{1.8}$$

Use your results from the previous problems to prove that

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 4\pi F^{\mu\nu} J_\mu .\tag{1.9}$$

2 Spacelike, Timelike, and Null Vectors

For any vector V in a Minkowski spacetime, we can classify it into one of three categories based on its norm $||V||^2 \equiv V_\mu V^\mu$:

$$\begin{aligned}V_\mu V^\mu < 0 &\implies V^\mu \text{ is timelike} , \\ V_\mu V^\mu = 0 &\implies V^\mu \text{ is null} , \\ V_\mu V^\mu > 0 &\implies V^\mu \text{ is spacelike} .\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

In the following questions, let T be a timelike vector, S a spacelike vector, and U and V two null vectors in a d -dimensional spacetime. In what follows, you may find the Schwarz inequality useful:

$$(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y})^2 \leq (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x})(\vec{y} \cdot \vec{y}) .\tag{2.2}$$

- 7.) Let X be some vector such that $T_\mu X^\mu = 0$. Prove that X must be spacelike.
- 8.) Suppose there is an inertial frame in which $S^\mu = (0, \vec{s})$ and $T^\mu = (t^0, \vec{0})$. Then, prove that S and T are orthogonal in all inertial frames, i.e. that $S_\mu T^\mu = 0$ in all inertial frames.
- 9.) **Bonus:** Prove the converse of the above statement: if T and S are orthogonal ($S_\mu T^\mu = 0$), then there exists an inertial frame in which $S^\mu = (0, \vec{s})$ and $T^\mu = (t^0, \vec{0})$.
- 10.) Prove that if U and V are orthogonal, then they are proportional to one another.

3 The Minkowski Metric in Other Coordinates

As should be familiar by now, the four-dimensional Minkowski metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 . \quad (3.1)$$

In this problem, we will investigate what happens to this metric when we make coordinate transformations.

- 11.) First, one of the simplest changes of coordinates is to go to polar coordinates (t, r, ϕ, z) by making the following changes of variables:

$$x = r \cos \phi , \quad y = r \sin \phi . \quad (3.2)$$

Derive the form of the metric in polar coordinates.

- 12.) Another commonly used set of coordinates are spherical coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) , which are functions of the Cartesian coordinates as follows:

$$x = r \sin \theta \sin \phi , \quad y = r \sin \theta \cos \phi , \quad z = r \cos \theta . \quad (3.3)$$

Derive the form of the metric in spherical coordinates.